

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,255	04/21/2005	Friedrich Arnold	2002P01332WOUS	8408	
46726 7590 07/28/2008 BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 100 BOSCH BOULEVARD			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			KOCA, E	KOCA, HUSEYIN	
NEW BERN, 1		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		3744		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/532,255	ARNOLD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
HUSEYIN KOCA	3744		

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address –
THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this

application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(d).

#### NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), roany extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.373 or CFR 41.376.

### <u>AMENDMENTS</u>

- - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal: and/or
  - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
- NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
   5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_\_.
- Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
   For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ⋈ will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of

how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_.
Claim(s) rejected: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) rejected: \_\_\_\_\_.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_

# AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 OFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

# REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. \( \subseteq \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <a href="See Continuation Sheet">See Continuation Sheet</a>.
- 12. Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement*(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_\_ 13. 

  Other:

/Cheryl J. Tyler/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3744

/Huseyin Koca/ Examiner, Art Unit 3744 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly recited limitation in claim 13, "temperature sensitive element being in substantially non-insulated contact with said thermal buffer liquid" is a new issue that will require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 1.1. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues with respect to the rejections of claims 13, 15, 17, 19, and 27, that Jones falls to anticipate or render obvious a step of visually observing a temperature variable property of the temperature sensitive element to determine temperature conditions. In response, this limitation is not required by claims 17 and 19. With respect to claims 13, 15, and 27, Jones teaches visually observing a temperature variable property of the temperature sensitive element to determine temperature conditions (Fig. 1; Fig. 3; C-1, L-63-73; C-2, L-1-2). One can look at the item 14 in Jones to visually observe a temperature variable property of the temperature sensitive element to determine temperature conditions. With respect to arguments presented against claim 31, Examiner maintains that the shape of the temperature sensitive element does not have any criticality in regards to how the temperature sensitive element functions. The specification of this application also states that the form of the temperature sensitive element can be any shape in page 6, lines 31-32. Applicant also makes a general argument stating that the concept of having sensing device which is readily observable through a transparent wall of a container resident in a refrigerator without disturbing the contents of the container is simply not suggested by Wilnowsky alone or in combination with the teaching of none. Examiner is not clear regarding to which claim this argument is referring. Examiner believes that all the limitations in the claims have been addressed in the Final Office Action mailed on 03/17/2008.

/CJT/ SPE, AU 3744